## Combined May 2020 Accountability Pillar Overall Summary

| Measure Category | Measure | Sturgeon Heights School |  |  | Alberta |  |  | Measure Evaluation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Current Result | Prev Year Result | Prev 3 Year Average | Current Result | Prev Year Result | Prev 3 Year Average | Achievement | Improvement | Overall |
| Safe and Caring Schools | Safe and Caring | 85.5 | 94.8 | 92.0 | 89.4 | 89.0 | 89.2 | High | Declined | Acceptable |
| Student Learning Opportunities | Program of Studies | 81.4 | 86.7 | 87.6 | 82.4 | 82.2 | 82.0 | High | Declined | Acceptable |
|  | Education Quality | 87.9 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 90.3 | 90.2 | 90.1 | High | Maintained | Good |
|  | Drop Out Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 | Very High | n/a | n/a |
|  | High School Completion Rate (3 yr) | n/a | n/a | n/a | 79.7 | 79.1 | 78.4 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Student Learning Achievement (Grades K-9) | PAT: Acceptable | n/a | 90.4 | 89.0 | n/a | 73.8 | 73.6 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | PAT: Excellence | n/a | 26.5 | 27.4 | n/a | 20.6 | 20.0 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Student Learning Achievement (Grades 10-12) | Diploma: Acceptable | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 83.6 | 83.4 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Diploma: Excellence | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 24.0 | 23.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | n/a | n/a | n/a | 56.4 | 56.3 | 55.6 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate | n/a | n/a | n/a | 66.6 | 64.8 | 63.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Preparation for Lifelong Learning, World of Work, Citizenship | Transition Rate (6 yr) | n/a | n/a | n/a | 60.1 | 59.0 | 58.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  | Work Preparation | 83.3 | 80.8 | 81.6 | 84.1 | 83.0 | 82.7 | High | Maintained | Good |
|  | Citizenship | 79.1 | 91.0 | 88.0 | 83.3 | 82.9 | 83.2 | High | Declined | Acceptable |
| Parental Involvement | Parental Involvement | 81.3 | 91.0 | 87.7 | 81.8 | 81.3 | 81.2 | High | Maintained | Good |
| Continuous Improvement | School Improvement | 79.3 | 87.1 | 84.7 | 81.5 | 81.0 | 80.9 | High | Declined | Acceptable |

Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. Overall evaluations can only be calculated if both improvement and achievement evaluations are available.
3. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.
4. Aggregated PAT results are based upon a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence). The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE); Français ( 6 e et 9 e année); French Language Arts ( 6 e et 9 e année); Mathematics (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE); Science (Grades 6, 9 , 9 KAE); and Social Studies (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE).
5. Participation in Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the fires in May to June 2016 and May to June 2019. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.
6. Aggregated Diploma results are a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence) on Diploma Examinations. The weights are the number of students writing the Diploma Examination for each course. Courses included: English Language Arts $30-1$, English Language Arts $30-2$; French Language Arts $30-1$; Français $30-1$; Mathematics $30-1$; Mathematics $30-2$; Chemistry 30 ; Physics 30; Biology 30; Science 30; Social Studies 30-1; and Social Studies 30-2
7. Participation in Diploma Examinations was impacted by the fires in May to June 2016 and May to June 2019. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time for the province and those school authorities affected by these events.
8. Weighting of school-awarded marks in diploma courses increased from $50 \%$ to $70 \%$ in the $2015 / 16$ school year. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
9. 2016 results for the 3 -year High School Completion and Diploma Examination Participation Rates have been adjusted to reflect the correction of the Grade 10 cohort.
10. Improvement evaluations are not calculated for school and school authority Drop Out and Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility rates. Starting in 2019, an updated methodology was applied to more accurately attribute results in cases where students receive programming from more than one provider within a school year. Caution should be used when interpreting school and school authority results over time.

## Measure Evaluation Reference

## Achievement Evaluation

Achievement evaluation is based upon a comparison of Current Year data to a set of standards that remain consistent over time. The Standards are calculated by taking the 3-year average of baseline data for each measure across all school jurisdictions and calculating the 5 th, 25 th, 75 th and 95 th percentiles. Once calculated, these standards remain in place from year to year to allow for consistent planning and evaluation.

The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure.

| Measure | Very Low | Low | Intermediate | High | Very High |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safe and Caring | $0.00-77.62$ | $77.62-81.05$ | $81.05-84.50$ | $84.50-88.03$ | $88.03-100.00$ |
| Program of Studies | $0.00-66.31$ | $66.31-72.65$ | $72.65-78.43$ | $78.43-81.59$ | $81.59-100.00$ |
| Education Quality | $0.00-80.94$ | $80.94-84.23$ | $84.23-87.23$ | $87.23-89.60$ | $89.60-100.00$ |
| Drop Out Rate | $100.00-9.40$ | $9.40-6.90$ | $6.90-4.27$ | $4.27-2.79$ | $2.79-0.00$ |
| High School Completion Rate (3 yr) | $0.00-57.03$ | $57.03-62.36$ | $62.36-73.88$ | $73.88-81.79$ | $81.79-100.00$ |
| PAT: Acceptable | $0.00-66.07$ | $66.07-70.32$ | $70.32-79.81$ | $79.81-84.64$ | $84.64-100.00$ |
| PAT: Excellence | $0.00-9.97$ | $9.97-13.44$ | $13.44-19.56$ | $19.56-25.83$ | $25.83-100.00$ |
| Diploma: Acceptable | $0.00-71.45$ | $71.45-78.34$ | $78.34-84.76$ | $84.76-87.95$ | $87.95-100.00$ |
| Diploma: Excellence | $0.00-9.55$ | $9.55-12.59$ | $12.59-19.38$ | $19.38-23.20$ | $23.20-100.00$ |
| Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | $0.00-31.10$ | $31.10-44.11$ | $44.11-55.78$ | $55.78-65.99$ | $65.99-100.00$ |
| Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate | $0.00-47.98$ | $47.98-55.78$ | $55.78-68.95$ | $68.95-74.96$ | $74.96-100.00$ |
| Transition Rate (6 yr) | $0.00-39.80$ | $39.80-46.94$ | $46.94-56.15$ | $56.15-68.34$ | $68.34-100.00$ |
| Work Preparation | $0.00-66.92$ | $66.92-72.78$ | $72.78-77.78$ | $77.78-86.13$ | $86.13-100.00$ |
| Citizenship | $0.00-66.30$ | $66.30-71.63$ | $71.63-77.50$ | $77.50-81.08$ | $81.08-100.00$ |
| Parental Involvement | $0.00-70.76$ | $70.76-74.58$ | $74.58-78.50$ | $78.50-82.30$ | $82.30-100.00$ |
| School Improvement | $0.00-65.25$ | $65.25-70.85$ | $70.85-76.28$ | $76.28-80.41$ | $80.41-100.00$ |

Notes:

1) For all measures except Drop Out Rate: The range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than or equal to the lower value, and less than the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from greater than or equal to the lower value to 100\%.
2) Drop Out Rate measure: As "Drop Out Rate" is inverse to most measures (i.e. lower values are "better"), the range of values at each evaluation level is interpreted as greater than the lower value and less than or equal to the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from $0 \%$ to less than or equal to the higher value.

## Improvement Table

For each jurisdiction, improvement evaluation consists of comparing the Current Year result for each measure with the previous three-year average. A chi-square statistical test is used to determine the significance of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.

The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

| Evaluation Category | Chi-Square Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Declined Significantly | $3.84+$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Declined | $1.00-3.83$ (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Maintained | less than 1.00 |
| Improved | $1.00-3.83$ (current > previous 3-year average) |
| Improved Significantly | $3.84+$ (current > previous 3-year average) |

## Overall Evaluation Table

The overall evaluation combines the Achievement Evaluation and the Improvement Evaluation. The table below illustrates how the Achievement and Improvement evaluations are combined to get the overall evaluation.

|  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improvement | Very High | High | Intermediate | Low | Very Low |
| Improved Significantly | Excellent | Good | Good | Good | Acceptable |
| Improved | Excellent | Good | Good | Acceptable | Issue |
| Maintained | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Concern |
| Declined | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern |
| Declined Significantly | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern | Concern |

## Category Evaluation

The category evaluation is an average of the Overall Evaluation of the measures that make up the category. For the purpose of the calculation, consider an Overall Evaluation of Excellent to be 2, Good to be 1, Acceptable to be 0, Issue to be -1, and Concern to be -2. The simple average (mean) of these values rounded to the nearest integer produces the Category Evaluation value. This is converted back to a colour using the same scale above (e.g. 2=Excellent, $1=$ Good, $0=$ Intermediate, $-1=$ Issue, $-2=$ Concern)

